Solution Structure and Backbone Dynamics of the Second PDZ Domain of Postsynaptic Density-95
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The second PDZ domain of postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95 PDZ2) plays a critical role in coupling N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors to neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS). In this work, the solution structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 was determined to high resolution by NMR spectroscopy. The structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 was compared in detail with that of α1-syntrophin PDZ domain, as the PDZ domains share similar target interaction properties. The interaction of the PSD-95 PDZ2 with a carboxyl-terminal peptide derived from a cytoplasmic protein CAPON was studied by NMR titration experiments. Complex formation between PSD-95 PDZ2 and the nNOS PDZ was modelled on the basis of the crystal structure of the α1-syntrophin PDZ/nNOS PDZ dimer. We found that the prolonged loop connecting the βB and βC strands of PSD-95 PDZ2 is likely to play a role in both the binding of the carboxyl-terminal peptide and the nNOS β-finger. Finally, the backbone dynamics of the PSD-95 PDZ2 in the absence of bound peptide were studied using a model-free approach. The “GLGF”-loop and the loop connecting βB and βC loop adopts a well-defined, rigid structure in solution. It appears that the loop adopts a pre-aligned conformation for the PDZ domain to interact with its targets.
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Introduction

Signal transmission in neurons is mediated by a wide variety of ion channels and receptors specifically localized at the synaptic membrane. Rather than freely diffusing in the membrane, these ion channels and receptors form multimeric clusters (for reviews, see Sheng, 1996; Craven & Bredt, 1998; Colledge & Froehner, 1998; O’Brien et al., 1998). Though the molecular mechanisms underlying assembly of the protein networks are largely unknown, recent studies have identified elements critical for synaptic clustering of certain ion channels (Sheng, 1996; Craven & Bredt, 1998; Colledge & Froehner, 1998). For example, clustering of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors at neuromuscular endplates requires a specific 43 kDa protein rapsyn (Apel et al., 1995). More generally, a large class of PDZ (PSD-95, DLG, and ZO-1) domain-containing proteins were identified that mediate targeting and clustering of channels, receptors, cell adhesion proteins, and other signaling enzymes at the specific sites of cell-cell contact, including synapses (Sheng, 1996; Kornau et al., 1997; Craven & Bredt, 1998; Colledge & Froehner, 1998; O’Brien et al., 1998). The membrane-associated guanylate kinase (MAGUK) proteins are a well-studied family of PDZ domain-containing proteins. Mammalian MAGUK proteins include PSD-95/SAP90 (Cho et al., 1992; Kistner et al., 1993), PSD-93/chapsyn-110 (Brenman et al., 1996b; Kim et al., 1996), SAP-97/hdlg (Lue et al., 1994; Müller et al., 1995), and SAP-102 (Müller et al., 1996), all of which are found at synapses (reviewed by Craven & Bredt, 1998). MAGUKs share common domain
organizations consisting of three PDZ domains at the N terminus followed by an SH3 domain. The C-terminal region of MAGUKs encodes a guanylate kinase-like (GK) domain; however, no kinase activity has been detected for the MAGUK proteins. Like the PDZ domains and the SH3 domain, the guanylate kinase domain of MAGUKs functions as a protein-protein interaction module (Naisbitt et al., 1997; Brenman et al., 1998). The SH3 domain and the GK domain of PSD-95 were found to interact with each other, and such interaction may regulate the protein interaction properties of the modules (McGee & Bredt, 1999).

Much of the current knowledge regarding channel clustering and organizing of the signaling complex by the MAGUK proteins has come from studies of PSD-95. Mutation of PSD-95 in mice leads to an enhanced long-term potentiation and impaired learning (Migaud et al., 1998). The protein can multimerize via two Cys residues in the N terminus, and the Cys residues play important roles in targeting PSD-95 to cell membrane (Hsueh et al., 1997; Craven et al., 1999; Hsueh & Sheng, 1999). The first two PDZ domains of PSD-95 can bind specifically to the Shaker K+ channel or N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor NR2 subunits via a sequence motif of -E-S/T-X-V* located at the extreme C termini (Kim et al., 1995; Kornau et al., 1995; Niethammer et al., 1996). The second PDZ domain of PSD-95 also binds to the PDZ domain of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) (Brenman et al., 1996a). Suppression of PSD-95 expression by antisense technology attenuates NO production via NMDA receptor-mediated Ca2+ influx, suggesting that PSD-95 specifically couples NMDA receptor activation to NO neurotoxicity (Sattler et al., 1999).

The discovery of the specific coupling between the NMDA receptor and nNOS by the second PDZ domain of PSD-95 makes this PDZ domain an attractive target for designing therapeutic drugs against stroke.

Canonical PDZ domains contain ~80-100 amino acid residues. The PDZ domains form a compact, globular structure consisting of a six-stranded antiparallel β-barrel flanked by two α-helices (Doyle et al., 1996; Cabral et al., 1996). The carboxyl peptide binds to a groove formed by βB and αB (Doyle et al., 1996). Both NMR and X-ray studies showed that the nNOS PDZ domain contains an extra two-strand antiparallel β-sheet C-terminal to the canonical PDZ domain (Tochio et al., 1999; Hillier et al., 1999). It was proposed that this β-sheet extension is likely to bind to the PDZ2 peptide-binding groove of PSD-95 (Tochio et al., 1999). The crystal structure of the nNOS PDZ/α1-syntrophin PDZ dimer indeed showed that the β-sheet extension of the nNOS PDZ binds to the peptide-binding groove formed by βB and αB of α1-syntrophin via β-invasion (Hillier et al., 1999).

In this work, we determined the high-resolution solution structure of the second PDZ domain of PSD-95. The interaction of the PDZ domain with a C-terminal peptide was also investigated. The backbone dynamics of the PDZ domain was studied in detail by 15N-relaxation experiments using a model-free approach.

### Results and Discussion

#### Structural determination

The three-dimensional structure of the second PDZ domain of PSD-95 (referred as PSD-95 PDZ2), that encompasses amino acid residues 155-249 of the native protein was determined in aqueous solution at pH 6.0, 30°C, using a total of 1835 experimental restraints (Table 1). PSD-95 PDZ2 is a monomeric protein at a concentration up to ~1.5 mM used for NMR studies. The narrow linewidth of the NMR signals and low degree chemical shift degeneration allowed us to obtain a large number of unambiguous NOEs (>18 NOEs per residue). Together with a substantial amount of dihedral and hydrogen bonding restraints, the structure of the protein was determined to a high resolution (Table 1 and Figure 2). The overall backbone precision (root-mean-square deviation, rmsd) of the 20 structural ensembles shown in Figure 2 is 0.31 Å for amino acid residues 158-246, and 0.29 Å if the flexible βA/βB loop is excluded. The structural statistics are summarized in Table 1. Figure 3 shows a ribbon diagram representation of the PDZ2 structure. The secondary structural elements are labeled following the scheme used by Doyle et al. (1996).

The overall structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 is similar to other PDZ domain structures determined by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy (Doyle et al., 1996; Cabral et al., 1996; Schultz et al., 1998; Daniels et al., 1998; Tochio et al., 1999; Hillier et al., 1999). The protein contains two α-helices (αA and αB) and six β-strands (βA-βF, see Figure 1 for the secondary structure of the protein). The strands of the protein form an antiparallel β-sandwich topology (Figure 3). The 3D structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 is particularly close to that of α1-syntrophin (an rmsd value of 1.36 Å for the entire PDZ domains, Figure 4). The only region that displays significant conformational differences between the PDZ domains of these two proteins is the loop connecting βB and βC (Figure 4). The βB/βC loop of PSD-95 PDZ2 contains an additional six-residue insert when compared to other PDZ domains (Figure 1).

A number of long-range NOEs were observed involving the amino acid residues located in the loop turning region (e.g. residues Asn180 and His182) and amino acids at the end of βB and at the beginning of αB. Therefore, the conformation of the βB/βC loop is well defined in solution (Figure 2), and NMR relaxation studies also showed that this loop assumes a rigid conformation (see Figure 8 for more detail). Inspection of the structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 has shown that Asn180 in the βB/βC loop is in close proximity to His225 in the N-terminal end of αB. The His residue at the beginning of αB (His225 in PSD-95...
PDZ2) is known to play a critical role in PDZ domain target recognition specificity through formation of a strong hydrogen bond between Nε of His and the hydroxyl group of a Ser/Thr residue of an appropriate target (Doyle et al., 1996; Schultz et al., 1998). Residue His182 of PSD-95 PDZ2 occupies a position similar to that of Asn102 of the α1-syntrophin PDZ domain (Figure 1), and Asn102 is directly involved in the binding of the α1-syntrophin PDZ to the “β-finger” of nNOS PDZ domain.

Chemical shift perturbation studies showed that His182 of PSD-95 PDZ is also involved in binding to a target peptide (see below for more detail). A rigid conformation presumably pre-aligns the amino acid residues of the βB/βC loop to interact with PSD-95 PDZ2 targets, including the nNOS PDZ domain and -S/T-X-V* peptides (see below for more detail).

**Table 1. Structural statistics for the family of 20 structures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance restraints</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraresidue (i – j = 0)</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential (</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium range (2 &lt;</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long range (</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bonds</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dihedral angle restraints</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean r.m.s. deviations from the experimental restraints

| Distance (Å) | 0.021 ± 0.001 |
| Dihedral angle (Å) | 1.03 ± 0.07 |

Mean r.m.s. deviations from idealized covalent geometry

| Bond (Å) | 0.003 ± 0.000 |
| Angle (Å) | 0.46 ± 0.01 |
| Improper (Å) | 0.34 ± 0.01 |

Mean energies (kcal mol⁻¹)

| E_NOE | 38.5 ± 3.2 |
| E_dih | 6.44 ± 0.88 |
| E_repel | 50.2 ± 3.4 |
| E_L,J | −268.0 ± 9.7 |

Ramachandran plotb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residues 158-246</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% residues in the most favorable regions</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional allowed regions</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>generously allowed regions</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atomic r.m.s. differences (Å)c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residues 158 to 246 in protein</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backbone heavy atoms (N, Cα, and C')</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy atoms</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison crystal versus solution structures in domaind

| R.m.s.d. (Å) N, Cα, Catoms | 1.38 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary structure elements</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None of the structures exhibits distance violations greater than 0.3 Å or dihedral angle violations greater than 5°.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a The final values of the square-well NOE and dihedral angle potentials were calculated with force constants of 50 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² and 200 kcal mol⁻¹ rad⁻², respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b The program PROCHECK (Laskowski et al., 1993) was used to assess the overall quality of the structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c The precision of the atomic coordinates is defined as the average r.m.s. difference between the 20 final structures and the mean coordinates of the protein.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d The crystal structure of the third PDZ domain of PSD-95 complexed with a four-residue C-terminal peptide was used for comparison (Doyle et al., 1996).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Target recognition**

To understand the molecular basis of C-terminal peptide recognition by PSD-95 PDZ2, we studied the interaction between PDZ2 and a peptide fragment from a recently identified protein called CAPON. Biochemical studies showed that CAPON can competitively dissociate the PDZ/PDZ interaction between nNOS and PSD-95 (Jaffrey et al., 1998). CAPON contains a carboxyl -E-T-A-V* sequence, which matches the PSD-95 PDZ2 recognition motif. Therefore, CAPON may directly bind to PSD-95 in a competitive manner with the nNOS PDZ domain. We used a synthetic peptide comprising the last 12 residues of CAPON (ELGDSLD-DETAV) to titrate 15N-labeled PSD-95 PDZ2. Figure 5 summarizes the interaction between PSD-95 PDZ2 and the CAPON peptide using the chemical shift perturbation approach. The data indicate...
that the CAPON peptide can indeed bind to the expected peptide-binding groove located between $\beta B$ and $\beta B$. The peptide-binding-induced conformational changes are particularly obvious in the GLGF loop and the $\alpha B/\beta F$ loop of PSD-95 PDZ2. It is noteworthy that His182, located at the tip of the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop, also undergoes a large chemical shift change upon binding to the CAPON peptide, indicating that the well-defined $\beta B/\beta C$ loop is directly involved in target peptide binding (Figure 5). The data in Figure 5 also substantiate that PDZ domains undergo localized conformational changes only upon binding to their targets (Doyle et al., 1996; Hillier et al., 1999; Tochio et al., 1999).

To assess whether the C-terminal 12 residues of CAPON is sufficient for PSD-95 PDZ2 binding, we have used a C-terminal 125 residue fragment of CAPON to titrate $^{15}$N-labeled PSD-95 PDZ2. A chemical shift perturbation profile essentially identical with that shown in Figure 5 was obtained, indicating that only the short carboxyl end of CAPON is involved in binding to PSD-95 PDZ2.

Figure 1. Amino acid sequence alignment of selected PDZ domains. The secondary structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 determined from this work is included at the top of the sequences. The GLGF motif is highlighted with a shaded box. The amino acid residues forming the hydrophobic pocket, which accommodate the side-chain of the amino acid residue at the 0 position of carboxyl peptides, are labeled with asterisks (*). The $\alpha B2$ amino acid residue that plays a critical role in selecting the residue at the $-2$ position of peptides is indicated with a black dot. The target peptide sequences for the PDZ domains are included.

Figure 2. Stereoview showing the best-fit superposition of the backbone atoms (N, $C^\alpha$, and $C_\text{O}$) of the final 20 structures of PSD-95 PDZ2. The structures are superimposed against the average structure using the residues 158-246. The program MOLMOL (Koradi et al., 1996) was used to generate the Figure.
The selection mechanism of a Val and a Ser/Thr residue at 0 and −2 positions, respectively, of carboxyl peptides by the PSD-95 PDZ domains has been clearly demonstrated in the PDZ/peptide complex structures previously determined (Doyle et al., 1996; Schultz et al., 1998; Tochio et al., 1999). Orientated peptide library studies further showed that PDZ domains display some degree of selectivity at the −1 position of the carboxyl peptides. For example, a negatively charged residue (Asp/Glu) at this position is strongly preferred by PSD-95 PDZ1 and PDZ2; whereas the PDZ3 domain of the protein selects a Ser/Thr residue at the corresponding position (Songyang et al., 1997; Niethammer et al., 1998). The selectivity for the −1 position of carboxyl peptides is largely determined by the second amino acid residue in the βB of the PDZ domains, as the side-chains of the βB2 residue and the amino acid residue in the −1 position of the carboxyl peptides are in close proximity. In PSD-95 PDZ1 and PDZ2, a Ser residue in βB2 could form a hydrogen bond with the carboxyl group of Asp/Glu of carboxyl peptides, presumably explaining the selection of a negatively charged Asp/Glu at the −1 position of the peptide (Figure 1). Mutation of βB2 Asn to a Ser residue switches the binding specificity of PSD-95 PDZ3 to one that selects a carboxyl peptide possessing Asp/Glu at the −1 position (Niethammer et al., 1998). The βB2 residue of the nNOS PDZ is the hydrophobic amino acid Leu, and thus the nNOS PDZ domain selects hydrophobic amino acid residues such as Leu, Pro, and Ala at the −1 position of the peptides (Stricker et al., 1997; Scheepens et al., 1997). The first PDZ domain of Mint1 contains Val in the βB2 position, and thus it selects bulky hydrophobic amino acid residues such as Trp at the −1 position of carboxyl peptides (Maximov et al., 1999). The PDZ domains of actinin-associated, LIM domain-containing proteins ALP, Cypher, and Enigma contain Arg at the βB2 position, and these PDZ domains select a negatively charged Asp/Glu at the −1 position of the target peptides (Xia et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 1999; D.S.B., unpublished results). However, we note that the selection of the −1 position amino acid residue of the target peptide by PDZ domains is not a strict requirement, as a number of PDZ domains do not display the selection mechanism discussed above. It is possible that other regions of the PDZ domain may contribute to the amino acid residue selection at the −1 position of the target peptides.

In addition to binding carboxyl-terminal peptides, PSD-95 PDZ2 can specifically bind to an internal peptide fragment from nNOS (Brenman et al., 1996). As shown in Figure 4, the 3D structures of PSD-95 PDZ2 and the α1-syntrophin PDZ are remarkably similar. Both PDZ domains are capable of binding to the nNOS PDZ domain (Brenman et al., 1995, 1996a). We therefore modeled a PSD-95 PDZ2 and the nNOS PDZ dimer complex based on the structure of the α1-syntrophin PDZ/nNOS PDZ dimer (Hillier et al., 1999). Figure 6 shows the model of the PSD-95 PDZ2/nNOS PDZ dimer. By simply superimposing the PDZ domains of PSD-95 and α1-syntrophin, the “β-finger” of the nNOS PDZ fits snugly into the peptide-binding groove of PSD-95 PDZ2. Deletion of the β-finger of nNOS completely abolished its interaction with PSD-95 PDZ2 (H.T. & M.Z., unpublished results). In addition to the expected contacts between the β-finger of nNOS PDZ and βB, zB as well as the GLGF-loop of PSD-95 PDZ2, the βB/zC loop of PSD-95 PDZ2 is in close proximity to the β-finger of the nNOS PDZ (Figure 6).

$^{15}$N $T_1$, $T_2$, and NOE data

$^{15}$N $T_1$, $T_2$, and NOE values of 76 out of 95 residues were obtained (Figure 7(a)-(c)). Of the 19 uncharacterized residues, Leu170 and Ser217 were too weak to obtain reliable experimental data, Pro167, Pro184, and Pro246 do not contain amide protons, and the remaining residues were overlapped in the 2D $^1$H-15N HSQC spectrum of PSD-95 PDZ2. The average values are (the residues with 1H,15N NOE values less than 0.6 are trimmed) $0.44(±0.02)$ second, $0.13(±0.01)$ second, $0.74(±0.05)$
and 3.50(±0.18) for $T_1$, $T_2$, NOE, and $T_1/T_2$, respectively. The mean $T_1/T_2$ value was initially used to estimate the overall correlation time ($\tau_m$) of the protein, and a mean $\tau_m$ value of 5.98(±0.21) ns was obtained. In this calculation, residues with $T_1/T_2$ values one standard deviation smaller/larger than the mean value were excluded on the grounds that these residues may undergo conformational exchange or are better modeled using two-time-scale spectral density function (Farrow et al., 1994). The global $\tau_m$ value was also obtained, while $S^2$ and $\tau_e$ were optimized for individual residues. The global $\tau_m$ value was determined to be 6.04 ns. The mean $\tau_m$ value obtained from the $T_1/T_2$ ratios agrees very well with the global $\tau_m$ value.

**Backbone dynamics of PSD-95 PDZ2**

The $T_1$, $T_2$, and NOE values, and the $\tau_m$ value, estimated from the average $T_1/T_2$ value, were used to fit to the extended Lipari-Szabo dynamic models following the methodology described by Mandel et al. (1995). Five models were considered, which included: (1) $S^2$ only; $\tau_e$ and $R_{ex}$ are negligible; (2) $S^2$ and $\tau_e$ only, $R_{ex}$ is negligible; (3) $S^2$ and an $R_{ex}$ term; (4) $S^2$, $\tau_e$, and $R_{ex}$; and (5) incorporation of an additional order parameter for two-time-scale internal motions ($S^2_f$ and $S^2_s$ for internal motions on the fast and slow time-scales). Figure 8(a) and (b) show the fitted $S^2$ and $\tau_e$ values of PSD-95 PDZ2. Only two residues of PSD-95 PDZ2 (Gly171 and Ile174) need $R_{ex}$ to fit $T_1$, $T_2$, and NOE values, and the $R_{ex}$ values are both below 1.0 s$^{-1}$ (hence the $R_{ex}$ values are not plotted). The result indicates that PSD-95 PDZ2 lacks slow time-scale chemical motions of the order of microseconds to milliseconds. Analysis of the relaxation data by including anisotropic tumbling did not yield better fitting data compared to those shown in Figure 8 (data not shown), suggesting that the overall anisotropic motion of the protein is negligible. In addition, the reproducible line-width of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectrum of the protein throughout the experiments ruled out the possibility of protein aggregation.

In general, the amino acid residues in the secondary structure regions have order parameter ($S^2$) values of ~0.9, and the relaxation data of these residues can be fitted by model 1 (Figure 8). The result indicates that the secondary structure regions of the protein behave rigidly in solution on the picosecond to nanosecond time-scale (Figure 8(a)). The lack of $R_{ex}$ terms for these residues further indicates that the $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-strands of the protein lack concerted motion on a microsecond to millisecond time-scale. As expected, the residues at both termini of the protein have lower $S^2$ values, and these residues require $\tau_e$ to model the relaxation data due to the fast internal motions. The loops connecting $\betaA/\betaB$ and $\betaE/\betaF$ also have somewhat lower $S^2$ values, and experience fast internal motions in addition to the nanosecond time-scale tumbling of the entire protein, indicating that both loops undergo mild hinge motion in solution (Figure 8). The data in Figure 2 also showed that both of the loops display some degree of coordinate dispersion. The $\betaA/\betaB$ and $\betaE/\betaF$ loops are directly involved with the binding of the target peptide, as shown by the
combined $^1$H and $^{15}$N chemical shift changes are defined as:

$$\Delta_{\text{ppm}} = \sqrt{(\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}})^2 + (\Delta\delta_{N} + \Delta\delta_{\text{HN}})^2}$$

The scaling factor ($\alpha_N$) used to normalize the $^1$H and $^{15}$N chemical shifts is 0.17. The assignment of the CAPON peptide bound form of PSD-95 PDZ2 was obtained by stepwise titration of the $^{15}$N-labeled protein with the peptide. The coloring scheme is presented using a horizontal bar at the top. The Figure was prepared using the program MOLMOL.

Figure 5. Chemical shift changes of PSD-95 PDZ2 resulted from the CAPON peptide binding. The combined $^1$H and $^{15}$N chemical shift changes are defined as:

$$\Delta_{\text{ppm}} = \sqrt{(\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}})^2 + (\Delta\delta_{N} + \Delta\delta_{\text{HN}})^2}$$

The scaling factor ($\alpha_N$) used to normalize the $^1$H and $^{15}$N chemical shifts is 0.17. The assignment of the CAPON peptide bound form of PSD-95 PDZ2 was obtained by stepwise titration of the $^{15}$N-labeled protein with the peptide. The coloring scheme is presented using a horizontal bar at the top. The Figure was prepared using the program MOLMOL.

chemical shift perturbation studies (Figure 5). The $\beta A/\beta B$ loop contains the GLGF-motif of the protein, and the backbones of the residues in this region are expected to form hydrogen-bonding interactions with the carboxyl group of the amino acid residue at the 0 position of target peptides. The residues in the $\beta B/\beta F$ loop form hydrophobic contacts with the side-chain of the residue at the 0 position of target peptide. Both loops are expected to undergo significant conformational changes after binding to the peptides. The loop connecting $\beta E$ and $\alpha B$ is also flexible in free PSD-95 PDZ2, and is expected to remain flexible after binding to the target peptide, as little chemical shift changes were observed in this loop upon binding to the CAPON peptide. The PSD-95 PDZ2 contains two rigid loops with significant lengths, one of which is the eight residue loop connecting $\alpha A$ and $\beta D$, and the other a 13 residue loop connecting $\beta B$ and $\beta C$ strands. The amino acid residues in the $\alpha A/\beta D$ loop intimately interact with residues from $\beta C$, forming the core of the protein, even though the loop does not adopt a regular secondary structure. Therefore, the loop has rigidity similar to the secondary structure regions of the protein. The residues in the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop of PSD-95 PDZ2 are also fairly rigid, although the order parameters of the loop are somewhat lower than the secondary structure regions ($S^2$ values of ~0.8). Additionally, the relaxation data of the loop require $\tau_2$ to be modeled, indicating that the entire loop undergoes cooperative fast time-scale fluctuation. NOE data also indicated that the entire $\beta B/\beta C$ loop is well defined in solution (Figure 2). A chemical shift perturbation study showed that some residues in the loop experience large chemical shift changes upon binding to the CAPON peptide (Figure 5). The interaction model between PSD-95 and the nNOS PDZ also suggests that the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop directly contacts the $\beta$-finger of the nNOS PDZ domain (Figure 6). Indeed, we also observed large chemical shift changes of a number of amino acid residues in the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop when PSD-95 PDZ2 binds to the nNOS PDZ domain (H.T. & M.Z., unpublished results). It is likely that the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop of PSD-95 is pre-aligned in a conformation for interacting with its targets. A majority of the PDZ domains have much shorter $\beta B/\beta C$ loops, and the loop is generally not directly involved in binding to target peptides (Doyle et al., 1996; Tochio et al., 1999). The extra insert of six or seven residues in the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop may play some role in determining the target-binding specificity of PSD-95 PDZ2. In particular, the $\beta B/\beta C$ loop may be essential for PSD-95 PDZ2 to interact with an internal peptide such as the $\beta$-finger of nNOS. However, experimental evidence is required to substantiate the above hypothesis.

Summary

The solution structure of PSD-95 PDZ2 has been determined to a high resolution. The overall structure of the PSD-95 PDZ2 is similar to that of other known PDZ domains. The PSD-95 PDZ2 contains a long, rigid loop connecting the $\beta B$ and $\beta C$ strands, and this unique loop is directly involved in target binding. The elucidation of the high-resolution structure lays essential groundwork in understanding the molecular mechanism of PSD-95 PDZ2 in recognizing both C-terminal peptides and internal peptide fragments. In addition, the 3D structure determined in this work will be invaluable in designing small molecular mass compounds aimed at blocking nNOS binding to PSD-95. Such compounds may act as potential drug leads against stroke with high specificity. Relaxation studies showed that the PSD-95 PDZ2 is, overall, a rigid molecule. The peptide-binding groove is also rigid, with the exception of the
GLGF-motif-containing loop and the zB/bF loop, which display mild flexibility in the absence of its targets. It is likely that formation of the PSD-95 PDZ2 and peptide complex is supported by the flexibility in the target peptide rather than the PDZ domain.

Materials and Methods

Cloning, expression, and purification of PSD-95 PDZ2

The second PDZ domain of rat PSD-95 encompassing amino acid residues 155-249 of the protein was PCR amplified from the full-length PSD-95 gene using the following two primers: 5'-CTGCTCGAGGCCGAAAAG-GTC-3' (coding strand) and 5'-CTGGATCCTAGGCAT-TGCTG-3' (non-coding strand). The amplified PSD-95 PDZ2 fragment was inserted into the XhoI and BamHI sites of the plasmid pET14b (Novagen). The pET14b plasmid harboring the PSD-95 PDZ2 gene was then transformed into Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) host cells. To express the protein, the host cells containing the PSD-95 PDZ2 plasmid were grown in LB medium at 37°C until the A600 reached 1.0. The expression of the protein was induced by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. The culture was incubated for three hours at the same temperature after the addition of IPTG. Uniformly15N and 15N/13C-labeled PDZ2 were prepared by growing the bacteria in M9 minimal medium using 15NH4Cl (1 g/liter) as the sole nitrogen source or 15NH4Cl (1 g/liter) and 13C6-labeled glucose (1 g/liter) as the sole nitrogen and carbon source, respectively. Recombinant PSD-95 PDZ2 was purified by conventional chromatographic techniques. Cell pellets from 2 l of bacterial cultures were first resuspended in 20-30 ml of Ni2+-NTA affinity column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 5 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl) containing 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml leupeptin, and 1 µg/ml of antipain. Cells were lysed by three passes through a French press, and the viscosity of the suspension was reduced by sonication. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 30,000 g for 30 minutes, and the supernatant was loaded onto a Ni2+-NTA column. The His-tagged PSD-95 PDZ2 was eluted from the Ni2+-NTA column following the instructions of the manufacturer (Novagen). The N-terminal His-tag was cleaved by digesting His-PDZ2 eluted from the Ni2+-NTA column with thrombin (one unit of enzyme per mg of His-PDZ2 for two hours at room temperature). The His-tag and small amounts of contaminants were removed from PSD-95 PDZ2 by passing the digestion mixture through a Sephacryl-100 gel-filtration column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The purified PSD-95 PDZ2 was extensively dialyzed against 10 mM NH4CO3 buffer, freeze-dried, and stored at -80°C.

NMR experiments

Four NMR samples were prepared for structural determination of the PSD-95 PDZ2 with a protein concentration of ~1.5 mM (unlabeled PDZ2 in 99.9% 2H2O, 15N-labeled protein in 90% H2O/10% 2H2O, two 15N/13C-labeled samples in 99.9% 2H2O and in 90% H2O/10% 2H2O). The protein was dissolved in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 6.0 (direct meter reading). A freshly prepared 15N-labeled sample in 90% H2O/10% 2H2O (~1.0 mM) was used for relaxation data measurements.

All NMR experiments were carried out at 30°C on Varian Inova 500 and 750 spectrometers equipped with 5 mm z-shielded gradient triple resonance probes. NMR spectra were processed with nmrPipe software package (Delaglio et al., 1995) and analyzed using PIPP (Garrett et al., 1991). Sequential backbone resonance assignments of the protein were obtained by standard heteronuclear correlation experiments including HNCO, HNCACB, CBCA(CO)NH, H(CCO)NH, and C(CO)NH experiments, and confirmed by a 3D 15N-separated NOESY experiment (Bax & Grzesiek, 1993; Kay & Gardner, 1997). The non-aromatic, non-exchangeable side-chain assignments were obtained using an HCCH-TOCSY experiment. The side-chains of aromatics were assigned by 1H 2D TOCSY and NOESY experiments of an unlabeled protein sample in 2H2O. The side-chains -NH2 of Asn and Gln residues...
were assigned by a 3D $^{15}$N-separated NOESY experiment of the $^{15}$N-labeled protein dissolved in H$_2$O.

The pulse sequences used to obtain $^{15}$N longitudinal relaxation times, $T_1$, the $^{15}$N spin-lattice relaxation times, $T_2$, and $^1$H-$^{15}$N steady-state NOE values were as described (Farrow et al., 1994). $^{15}$N, $T_1$, $T_2$ and $^1$H-$^{15}$N NOE measurements were performed at 30°C on the Varian Inova 500-MHz spectrometer. The relaxation delays $T$ for $T_1$ experiments were: $T = 0.01, 0.08, 0.18, 0.30, 0.45, 0.64, 0.90, 1.30$ seconds, and $T$ for $T_2$ were: $T = 0.014, 0.029, 0.043, 0.058, 0.072, 0.086, 0.100, 0.130$ second. Steady-state $^1$H-$^{15}$N NOE values were determined at 500 MHz by recording spectra with and without a three second $^1$H saturation prior to the start of the experiment. The total recycle delays for the NOE measurement with and without $^1$H saturation were four and seven seconds, respectively. The recycle delays for $^{15}$N $T_1$ and $T_2$ measurements were two seconds. A total of 16 transients were collected in $T_1$ and $T_2$ experiments, and 24 scans were acquired in NOE measurements. All of the spectra were recorded as $128 \times 512$ complex data matrices. Lorentzian-to-Gaussian apodization functions were applied in both dimensions before Fourier transformation. All data were processed using nmrPipe software (Delaglio et al., 1995), and peak intensities were characterized as volumes using surface fitting routines in the nmrPipe software.

The steady-state NOE values were determined from the ratios of the peak volumes with and without proton saturation:

$$\text{NOE} = \frac{I_{\text{sat}}}{I_{\text{msat}}}$$

(1)

$T_1$ and $T_2$ values were determined by fitting the measured peak volumes to a two-parameter function of:

$$I(t) = I_0 \exp(-t/T_{1,2})$$

(2)

where $I(t)$ is the peak volume after a delay of time $t$ and $I_0$ is the volume at time $t = 0$. A conjugate gradient minimization was performed to determine the optimum value of the $I_0$ and $T_{1,2}$ parameters by minimizing the goodness of fitting ($\chi^2$):

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i} (I_i(t) - I_e(t))^2 / \sigma^2$$

(3)

where $I_i(t)$ and $I_e(t)$ are the intensities from the fitting and experimental measurement, respectively, $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the experimental intensity measurements.

The spectral density function can be modeled using a model-free formalism (Lipari & Szabo, 1982a,b), assuming that a molecule tumbles isotropically, and the time-scales for the internal motions approach the extreme narrowing regime:

\[ \text{Figure 7. Plot as a function of amino acid residue number of measured (a) } T_1, \text{ (b) } T_2, \text{ (c) } ^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N NOE, and (d) calculated } T_1/T_2 \text{ ratios of PSD-95 PDZ2. The experimental errors in measuring } T_1, T_2, \text{ and } ^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N NOE values are included. The secondary structure of the protein is included at the top.} \]
The effective correlation time resulting from the internal motions is described by 1/τₑ, where 1/τₑ = 1/τₘ + 1/τₛ. An extended form of the model-free spectral density function was used (Clore et al., 1990) to incorporate parameters for internal motional processes on two distinct time-scales, differing by at least an order of magnitude:

\[ \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{S^2}{(1 + \omega^2 \tau_m^2) + (1 - S^2)\tau / (1 + \omega^2 \tau^2)} \]

where \( J(\omega) \) is the spectral density function, the order parameter \( S^2 \) describes the degree of spatial restriction of the internal motion of a \(^{1}H-^{15}N\) bond vector, \( \tau_m \) is the overall correlation time of a molecule. The effective correlation time resulting from the internal motions is described by \( \tau_s \) where \( 1/\tau = 1/\tau_m + 1/\tau_s \). An extended form of the model-free spectral density function was used (Clore et al., 1990) to incorporate parameters for internal motional processes on two distinct time-scales, differing by at least an order of magnitude:

\[ \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{S^2}{(1 + \omega^2 \tau_m^2) + (S^2 - S^2)\tau / (1 + \omega^2 \tau^2)} \]

where \( S^2 = S_f^2S_s^2 \), \( S_f^2 \) and \( S_s^2 \) are the squares of the order parameters for the internal motions on the fast and slow time-scales, respectively. The effective correlation time for the slow internal motions, \( \tau_s \), is included using relationship \( 1/\tau_s = 1/\tau_m + 1/\tau_s \).

An additional term, \( R_{ex} \), is required when modeling experimental \( T_2 \) values to account for the contributions from processes other than those from dipole-dipole and chemical shift anisotropy:

\[ 1/T_2 = 1/T_{2(DD)} + 1/T_{2(CSA)} + R_{ex} \]

where \( 1/T_{2(DD)} \) and \( 1/T_{2(CSA)} \) are contributions of dipole-dipole and chemical shift anisotropy to transverse relaxation, and \( R_{ex} \) is in most cases the contribution of conformational averaging of a molecule.

The selection of the most appropriate spectral density function for modeling the relaxation parameters of each residue was based on the following equation:

\[ \chi^2 = \frac{(T_{1c} - T_{1e})^2}{\sigma T_{1c}^2} + \frac{(T_{2c} - T_{2e})^2}{\sigma T_{2c}^2} + (NOE_c - NOE_e)^2 / \sigma NOE^2 \]

where the subscripts c and e represent calculated and experimentally measured relaxation parameters, respectively, and \( \sigma T_{1c}, \sigma T_{2c}, \) and \( \sigma NOE \) are estimates of the standard deviation of the experimentally determined parameters. Non-linear least-squares optimization and Monte Carlo error analysis were performed for the variables in each of the following spectral density functions: (1) a function of the form given in equation (4) with \( \tau_e \) fixed at zero; (2) the same function with \( \tau_e \) used as a fitting parameter; (3), the functional form described in equation (4) with \( \tau_s \) fixed at zero but including the term \( R_{ex} \) to account for conformational exchange; (4) the functional form in equation (4), including both \( \tau_e \) and \( R_{ex} \) as fitting parameters; and (5) finally the two-time-scale form of the spectral density function given in equation (5). The relaxation data were fit using the program Modelfree 4.0 (provided by A.G. Palmer). The selection

Figure 8. Results from the model-free analysis are plotted as a function of amino acid sequence. Only (a) \( S^2 \) and (b) \( \tau_e \) are sufficient to describe the backbone dynamics of PSD-95 PDZ2. The \( \tau_e \) values derived from model 5 represent \( \tau_s \) in equation (5).
criteria of the dynamic models followed those described earlier (Mandel et al., 1995).

Structural calculations

Approximate interproton distance restraints were derived from three NOESY spectra (a 1H 2D homonuclear NOESY, a 15N-separated-NOESY, and a 13C-separated NOESY). NOEs were grouped into three distance ranges 1.8-2.7 Å (1.8-2.9 Å for NOEs involving NH protons), 1.8-3.3 Å (1.8-3.5 Å for NOEs involving NH protons), and 1.8-5.0 Å, corresponding to strong, medium, and weak NOEs. Hydrogen bonding restraints (two per hydrogen bond where r_HO = 1.8-2.2 Å and r_NO = 2.2-3.3 Å) were generated from the standard secondary structure of the protein based on the NOE patterns, and backbone secondary chemical shifts (Tochio et al., 1998). Backbone dihedral angle restraints (φ and ψ angles) were derived from 1J_NH coupling constants measured using an HMQC-J experiment and backbone chemical shift analysis program TALOS (Cornilescu et al., 1999). Structures were calculated using a torsion angle dynamics/simulated annealing protocol (Nilges et al., 1988; Stein et al., 1997) using the program X-PLOR 3.8 (Brünger, 1992).

Coordinates

The coordinates of the structures of PSD-95 PDZ2 have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, accession code 1qlc.
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