Homer Tetramer Promotes Actin Bundling Activity of Drebrin
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In Brief
The crystal structure of Drebrin-HBM1/Homer-EVH1 reveals an extended Homer EVH1 binding motif. Homer tetramer promotes actin bundling activity of Drebrin and stimulates Drebrin-induced filopodia formation in cells, suggesting a potential role of Homer1 in modulating synaptic spine homeostatic scaling via binding to Drebrin.
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**SUMMARY**

Drebrin is an actin bundling protein that plays critical roles in synaptic spine development and plasticity. Homer, one of the most abundant scaffolding proteins in postsynaptic density, interacts with Drebrin’s C-terminal PPXXF motifs using its Ena/VASP homology 1 (EVH1) domain. However, the molecular mechanism and biological function of this interaction remain unclear. Here we show that Homer specifically binds to the first but not the second PPXXF motif in Drebrin. The crystal structure of Drebrin-Homer binding motif 1 in complex with Homer EVH1 reveals a consensus Homer EVH1 binding motif. Homer tetramer promotes actin bundling activity of Drebrin in vitro and stimulates Drebrin-induced filopodia formation and elongation in cells. We further show that monomeric Homer1a antagonizes Homer1b in promoting Drebrin-stimulated actin bundling. Our study suggests a potential regulatory role of Homer1 in modulating excitatory synaptic spine homeostatic scaling via binding to Drebrin.

**INTRODUCTION**

Drebrin (developmentally regulated brain protein), originally identified in neurons (Shirao and Obata, 1985), contains two major isoforms, A and E (Kojima et al., 1993; Shirao et al., 1988). The longer isoform Drebrin A contains a unique insertion in the middle (Figure 1A) and is specifically expressed in adult neurons (hence called Drebrin A), whereas the E isoform (named after the embryonic Drebrin) is prominently expressed during the early stage of developing neurons and in various non-nervous tissues such as lung, intestine, and liver (Keon et al., 2000; Shirao and Obata, 1985). The N-terminal actin-depolymerizing factor homology (ADF-H) domain, although with no actin binding or depolymerizing activity, can bind to transcriptional regulator zinc-finger MYND-type containing 8 (ZMYND8), suggesting that Drebrin may play a regulatory role in cytoplasmic sequestering of ZMYND8 (Yamazaki et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2017). Following the ADF-H domain, Drebrin contains a putative coiled-coiled (CC) domain and a helical (HEL) domain that can bind to F-actin and promote actin bundle formation in a phosphorylation-dependent manner. It has been reported that Ser142 phosphorylation of Drebrin by cyclin-dependent kinase 5 relieves the autoinhibition of the CC domain, converting Drebrin from an F-actin binding protein to an F-actin bundling protein through the cooperation of HEL and CC domains (Ishikawa et al., 1994; Worth et al., 2013). In contrast to the highly conserved ADF-H domain and the actin binding region, the C terminus of Drebrin shows much lower sequence conservation and a lack of recognizable domains apart from two putative Homer binding motifs (HBMs) (Figure 1A). HBM contains a sequence motif of PPXXF, where X represents any amino acid. Drebrin HBMs were reported to interact with Homer2b in vitro, and the two proteins co-localize in synapses (Shiraishi-Yamaguchi et al., 2009; Shiraishi et al., 2003). However, the molecular mechanism and the physiological roles of this interaction remain poorly characterized.

Drebrin A is required for dendritic spine maturation and post-synaptic density-95 (PSD-95) accumulation at excitatory synapses. Downregulation of Drebrin A reduces synaptic localization of PSD-95 and clustering of F-actin at synapses, leading to reductions in the numbers and sizes of dendritic spines (Takahashi et al., 2003, 2005). However, overexpression of Drebrin A in cultured neurons induces abnormally long dendritic spines ( Mizui et al., 2005). When expressed in various heterologous cells, Drebrin can induce formation of numerous filopodia (Shirao et al., 1992; Worth et al., 2013). Drebrin expression levels appear to decrease in Alzheimer’s disease and Down syndrome patients, but increase in patients with various cancers (Dun and Chilton, 2010; Harigaya et al., 1996; Shim and Lubec, 2002; Xu et al., 2015). These studies suggest that Drebrin likely plays a role in dendritic spine development and in the pathogenesis of multiple diseases, possibly through regulating actin cytoskeletal organizations.

The Homer family scaffold proteins consists of three members, Homer1, 2, and 3, all of which have short and long...
isoforms generated by alternative splicing. Both longer and short forms contain an EVH1 domain, which can recognize various PPXXF motif-containing proteins, such as metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), Shank, Drebrin, inositol triphosphate receptor, and transient receptor potential canonical channels (Kammermeier and Worley, 2007; Shiraishi-Yamaguchi and Furuichi, 2007; Shiraishi-Yamaguchi et al., 2009). The crystal structure of the Homer1 EVH1/mGluR peptide (TPPSPF) complex reveals how Homer EVH1 recognizes the PPXXF motif (Beneken et al., 2000). However, there are more than 3,000 proteins (>10% of the total number of proteins) in the human proteome that contain the PPXXF motif. It is unlikely that all these proteins are true Homer binding partners. We reason that residues outside the PPXXF motifs may play a role in modulating their Homer EVH1 binding specificity. Besides the EVH1 domain, the longer isoforms of Homer also contain a CC region at the C terminus that forms an antiparallel tetramer. Homer tetramer and Shank form mesh-like structures capable of recruiting other synaptic proteins and promoting maturation of dendritic spines (Hayashi et al., 2009). The short monomeric isoforms of Homer, such as Homer1a, may modulate global synaptic scaling possibly by antagonizing the long tetrameric Homer during the wake/sleep cycle in mammals (de Vivo et al., 2017; Diering et al., 2017).

**RESULTS**

**Homer EVH1 Domain Specifically Binds to the First PPXXF Motif of Drebrin**

Detailed sequence analysis revealed that Drebrin contains two putative Homer EVH1 binding PPXXF motifs within its C-terminal tail (Figure 1A, denoted as HBM1 and HBM2 for Homer binding motifs 1 and 2). Both HBMs are quite conserved during evolution (Figure 1B). We first validated and quantified the interaction between Homer and Drebrin using the purified Homer2 EVH1 and the Drebrin C-terminal tail, which contains both putative HBMs. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments showed that the two proteins form a 1:1 complex with a Kd of ~8.4 μM (Figures 1C and 1D). This indicates that only one HBM can bind to Homer2. To further characterize the above interaction, we divided the Drebrin C-terminal tail into two fragments, each containing one HBM as shown in Figures 1B and 1C. ITC analysis showed that Homer2 HBM1 binds...
to Homer2 EVH1 at 1:1 stoichiometry, with the same affinity as the long tail that contains both HBMs (Figure 1D2), whereas no interaction was detected between Drebrin HB2 and Homer2 EVH1 (Figure 1D3). Deleting HB1 from the full-length Drebrin abolished its binding to Homer2 EVH1 (Figure 1C), indicating that HB1 is the only Homer2 binding site of Drebrin. We further confirmed the ITC binding results using analytical gel filtration chromatography (Figures 1E and S1G). Finally, our ITC-based experiments showed that the EVH1 domains of Homer1, 2, and 3 all bind to Drebrin HB1 with similar affinities (Figures 1D2, S1E, and S1F). This finding is expected given that the EVH1 domains of all Homer isoforms are extremely similar.

**Structural Basis Governing the Specific Homer EVH1/ Drebrin HB1 Interaction**

To understand how Homer EVH1 and Drebrin HB1 interact at the atomic level, we solved the crystal structure of the Homer2 EVH1/Drebrin HB1 complex by fusing the Drebrin HB1 (amino acids [aa]: 530–550) to the C terminus of Homer2 EVH1 with a 15-aa flexible linker in between (Table 1). Drebrin HB1 binds to the canonical hydrophobic pocket of Homer2 EVH1 domain (Figures 2A and 2B). The Pro (P539 and P540) and Phe (F543) residues of the PPXXF motif of Drebrin HB1 occupy essentially the same positions as the corresponding residues of the mGluR5 PPXXF motif in the Homer1/mGluR5 complex (Figure 2C). Besides the well-defined PPXXF motif, there are clear densities in the F––F difference map indicating that residues flanking the PPXXF motif of Drebrin HB1 also contribute to the interaction (Figures 2B and 2C).

The canonical PPXXF motif mainly binds to the Homer2 EVH1 domain via hydrophobic interactions (Figure 3A). Mutations of these critical residues significantly weakened the binding (Figure 3B). Of the flanking sequences, the side chain of D545D (the superscript denotes Drebrin) forms a critical hydrogen bond with the Homer2 Q72H (the superscript denotes Homer) backbone (Figure 3A). Substitution of D545D with Ala completely abolished the Drebrin/Homer2 interaction (Figure 3B), highlighting the importance of this side chain-main chain interaction. Multiple sequence alignments of reported Homer binders indicate that an Asp or Asn residue is preferred at this position (Figure 3C). At the N-terminal part of HB1, hydrophobic interactions mediated by P537D and W24H, I16H as well as L536D and T68H, also contribute to the binding (Figure 3A). It is noted that the known Homer binders all contain a Leu (corresponding to L536D) two or three residues preceding the PPXXF motif (Figure 3C).

Although both HB1 and HB2 contain the PPXXF motif, only HB1 interacts with the Homer EVH1 domain (Figures 1 and 3D). We next set out to investigate the reason for this binding specificity. Since residues surrounding the PPXXF motif are quite different between HB1 and HB2 (Figure 3D), we first tested the impact on the binding by substituting each of those flanking residues in HB1 to the corresponding sequence in HB2. Surprisingly, the Cs44Y mutation abolished the EVH1 binding of HB1 (Figures 3D and S2A), although Cs44D is not directly involved in the interaction (Figure 3A). We reasoned that a bulky residue Tyr at this position may sterically occlude Ps537D and thus prevent the PPXXF motif from adopting the proper conformation to interact with Homer. Consistent with this prediction, the Cs44F or Cs44W mutation also totally disrupted the Homer EVH1 binding of HB1 (Figures S2B and S2C). Substitution of the N-terminal L536D to Ala or Ps537D to Lys decreased the binding affinity by a few folds, and mutation of D545D to Asn slightly weakened the binding (Figure 3D). We then tried to convert HB2 into an HB by introducing gain-of-function mutations based

### Table 1. Statistics of X-Ray Crystallographic Data Collection and Model Refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Homer-Drebrin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Datasets</td>
<td>Homer-Drebrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>45.34, 47.81, 50.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>70.44, 83.56, 90.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>50–2.30 (2.34–2.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>17,008 (797)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>3.5 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>96.6 (94.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>14.8 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete (%)(%)(%)</td>
<td>6.4 (47.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2 (last resolution shell)(%)(%)</td>
<td>0.824</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Structure Refinement**

| Resolution (Å)           | 50–2.30 (2.44–2.30) |
| Rcryst (%)               | 19.16/22.82 (25.97/29.43) |
| Rmerge (%)               | 0.004 |
| Average B factor (Å²)    | 0.782 |
| No. of atoms             | 50.3 |
| Protein atoms            | 2,938 |
| Water                    | 31 |
| Ligands                  | 0 |
| No. of reflections       | 16,171 (2,623) |
| Working set              | 821 (113) |
| Ramachandran plot regions (%) | 98.4 |
| Favored                  | 1.6 |
| Allowed                  | 0 |

Numbers in parentheses represent the value for the highest-resolution shell. RMSD, root-mean-square deviation.

<sup>a</sup><sub>Rmerge = Σ/ΣL</sub> <sub>–<I>/ΣI</I></sub>, where I is the intensity of measured reflection and <I>/ΣI</I> is the mean intensity of all symmetry-related reflections.

<sup>b</sup><sub>αCC1/2</sub> were defined by Karplus and Diederichs (2012).

<sup>c</sup><sub>Rcryst = Σ/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub> <sub>– F</sub> <sub>obs</sub> <sub>/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub> <sub>– F</sub> <sub>obs</sub> <sub>/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub>
<sub>D</sub>
<sub>obs</sub> <sub>/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub>, where F<sub>calc</sub> and F<sub>obs</sub> are observed and calculated structure factors.

<sup>d</sup><sub>Rfree = Σ/ΣT</sub> <sub>calc</sub> <sub>– F</sub> <sub>obs</sub> <sub>/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub> <sub>– F</sub> <sub>obs</sub> <sub>/ΣF</sub> <sub>calc</sub>, where T is a test dataset of about 5% of the total unique reflections randomly chosen and set aside prior to refinement.

<sup>e</sup><sub>B factors and Ramachandran plot statistics are calculated using MolProbity (Chen et al., 2010)</sub>
on the above analysis. We found that the A613L, K614P, and Y622C triple mutation, but not the Y622C single-point mutation, could convert HBM2 into a weak Homer EVH1 binder (Figure 3D), reinforcing the conclusion that both the hydrophobic residue(s) preceding the PPXXF motif and a non-bulky aromatic residue immediately following the PPXXF motif play critical roles for the extended PPXXF motif to bind to Homer EVH1.

We further validated this conclusion by testing the bindings of mGluR1 or Shank3 to Homer EVH1 by ITC experiments. The binding affinity between mGluR1 and Homer2 was $K_d = 14 \mu M$ (Figures 3D and S3A), and mutation of L1150 (the hydrophobic Leu preceding the PPXXF motif) to Lys abolished this binding (Figures 3D and S3B). Stronger binding was observed between Shank3 and Homer2 ($K_d = 0.84 \mu M$) (Figures 3D and S3D), and substitution of L1806 with Lys weakened the interaction by about 5-fold (Figures 3D and S3E). In addition, mutation of the residue right after the PPXXF motif (highlighted by the red triangle in Figure 3C) in mGluR1 or Shank3 to bulky residue Tyr also dramatically decreased their Homer2 binding affinities (Figures 3D, S3C, and S3F). Taken together, our detailed structural and biochemical analyses reveal an extended PPXXF motif with a sequence pattern of $L-X_1-2-PPXXF-\omega-(D/N)$, where X is any residue and $\omega$ can be any residue but FYW, as the general Homer EVH1 binding motif.

**Drebrin-Mediated Actin Bundling Activity Promoted by Homer Tetramerization and Phosphorylation**

Recently, we showed that dimerization of Espin1 (an actin bundling protein) by binding to myosin III can facilitate the formation of Espin1-mediated thick actin bundles (Liu et al., 2016). Drebrin is monomeric (Figure S4A1) and was reported to be a weak actin bundling protein (Worth et al., 2013), and thus we speculated whether Homer binding-mediated Drebrin multimerization might be able to promote the actin bundling activity of Drebrin. To test this hypothesis, we first conducted a low-speed co-sedimentation assay, in which only the bundled F-actin could be spinned down with or without the purified Drebrin and Homer1b proteins. In the absence of Homer1b and Drebrin, no F-actin content was pelleted down when the sample was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 20 min. Co-sedimentation results revealed that wild-type (WT) Drebrin alone exhibits weak F-actin bundling activity, which is significantly promoted by the addition of WT Homer1b (Figures 4A and 4C). Substitution of W24H, a residue in Homer1b EVH1 essential for Drebrin binding, with Ala, eliminated Homer1b’s ability to promote Drebrin-mediated actin bundling (Figures 3B, 4A and 4C). Correspondingly, removal of the PPATF motif from Drebrin also eliminated Homer1b binding-induced actin bundling by Drebrin (Figures 4A and 4C). To further test whether Homer tetramerization is required for promoting Drebrin-mediated actin bundling, we used purified Homer1a, an isoform of Homer1 lacking the C-terminal CC tetramerization domain. Interestingly, the co-sedimentation assay showed that the monomeric Homer1a was not capable of promoting Drebrin’s actin bundling activity (Figures 4A and 4C). We next directly tested the role of the Homer1b tetramer on Drebrin’s actin bundling activity by converting Homer1b into a dimer via substituting two hydrophobic residues (I332 and I337) in the CC domain of Homer1b with Arg and Glu, respectively (denoted as Homer1b II2RE). Consistent with an earlier study (Hayashi et al., 2009), the purified Homer1b II2RE mutant also has a weaker capacity in promoting Drebrin’s actin bundling activity (Figures 4A and 4C). Taken together, our results suggest that tetramerization of Homer1b and the interaction between Drebrin and
Homer are both required for the enhanced actin bundling activity of Drebrin.

To exclude the possibility that Homer binding may allosterically regulate the actin bundling activities of Drebrin, we determined the binding affinities between Drebrin and F-actin with or without Homer1a via high-speed co-sedimentation assay (Figures S4B and S4C). Consistent with previous reported results, Drebrin binds to F-actin with a high affinity (K_d of WT/C24 0.2 μM and K_d of S142E/C24 0.1 μM) with a binding stoichiometry of 1:5 (Grintsevich et al., 2010). Also, the binding affinity and binding stoichiometry are not changed significantly when Homer1a is added, suggesting that binding of Homer to the PPXXF motif does not affect the binding affinity of Drebrin to F-actin, which also indicates that the mechanism underlying the promotion of Drebrin’s actin bundling activity by Homer1b is likely an avidity effect due to the multimerization of Drebrin instead of the allosteric regulation of Drebrin by Homer EVH1 binding.

Previous studies have shown that phosphorylation of Drebrin at S142 can regulate its actin bundling activity by releasing Drebrin autoinhibition (Worth et al., 2013). To test whether Drebrin phosphorylation can regulate actin bundling in the presence of Homer1b, we substituted S142 with Glu to mimic its phosphorylation. Drebrin S142E in complex with Homer1b showed a slight but significant increase of Drebrin’s actin bundling activity in the low-speed co-sedimentation assay when compared with the WT Drebrin (Figures 4B and 4C).

To better investigate the impact of S142 phosphorylation on Drebrin’s actin bundling activity, we used fluorescent microscopy (FM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to directly visualize actin bundles induced by WT, S142A, and S142E Drebrin. The F-actin-only group showed background signal under FM, and nanometer-sized filaments under TEM (Figure 5A1–2). Consistent with the co-sedimentation results, Drebrin WT, S142A, or S142E mixed with F-actin showed faint fluorescence signal and very thin actin bundles under FM (Figures 5A1, 5A2, and 5A3), indicating that Drebrin WT/S142A/S142E alone exhibits very weak actin crosslinking activity. Strikingly, when Homer1b and Drebrin WT/S142A/S142E proteins were mixed with F-actin, long filamentous bundles could be observed under FM, and thick actin bundles were seen under TEM (Figures 5A3 and 5A4). We noted that the actin networks crosslinked by Homer1b and Drebrin WT/S142A were loose bundles with relative fluorescence intensity of 0.168 ± 0.046/0.163 ± 0.032 (Figures 5A4, 5A5, and 5B1). Interestingly, when incubated with the Homer1b and Drebrin S142E, F-actin formed compact and large bundles of S142A, and S142E Drebrin. The F-actin-only group showed background signal under FM, and nanometer-sized filaments under TEM (Figure 5A12). Consistent with the co-sedimentation results, Drebrin WT, S142A, or S142E mixed with F-actin showed faint fluorescence signal and very thin actin bundles under FM (Figures 5A1, 5A2, and 5A3), indicating that Drebrin WT/S142A/S142E alone exhibits very weak actin crosslinking activity. Strikingly, when Homer1b and Drebrin WT/S142A/S142E proteins were mixed with F-actin, long filamentous bundles could be observed under FM, and thick actin bundles were seen under TEM (Figures 5A3 and 5A4). We noted that the actin networks crosslinked by Homer1b and Drebrin WT/S142A were loose bundles with relative fluorescence intensity of 0.168 ± 0.046/0.163 ± 0.032 (Figures 5A4, 5A5, and 5B1). Interestingly, when incubated with the Homer1b and Drebrin S142E, F-actin formed compact and large bundles...
with fluorescence intensities of \( \sim 0.289 \pm 0.055 \) (Figures 5A6 and 5B1), indicating that phosphorylation at S142 of Drebrin can indeed further promote its actin bundling activity. As the control, Homer1b alone could not induce F-actin bundling (Figure 5A11). We also examined the actin network formed by Drebrin S142E + Homer1b II2RE (Figure 5A7), Drebrin S142E + Homer1a (Figure 5A8), as well as Drebrin S142E + Homer1b W24A (Figure 5A9) and Drebrin S142E \( \Delta \)PPATF + Homer1b (Figure 5A10). The imaging results showed that F-actin in all these groups could only be crosslinked into thin bundles when compared with that induced by Drebrin S142E + Homer1b (Figure 5B2), further confirming the importance of Homer1b tetramerization and Drebrin/Homer interaction in promoting the actin bundling by Drebrin. The dimeric Homer1b II2RE displayed a higher actin bundle-promoting activity of Drebrin when compared with the monomeric Homer1a (Figure 5B), indicating that the level of Homer multimerization is positively correlated with its capacity in promoting the actin bundling activity of Drebrin.

Drebrin WT contained significantly more and longer filopodia compared with cells expressing Drebrin alone (Figure 6A4). Co-expression of Homer1b with the Drebrin S142E mutant further augmented the number and lengths of filopodia in these cells (Figures 6A5 and 6B). In contrast, the monomeric Homer1a could not promote filopodia formation, and the dimeric Homer1b II2RE mutant displayed a significantly weaker filopodia promotion activity when compared with Homer1b WT (Figures 6A7, 6A8, and 6B). Similarly, Homer1b W24A or Drebrin S142E \( \Delta \)PPATF did not have any filopodia promotion activity in COS7 cells when compared with Drebrin S142E alone (Figures 6A9 and 6A10). Taken together, our cell-based assay results revealed that both Homer/Drebrin interaction and Homer tetramerization are important for the Drebrin-induced filopodia formation and elongation in COS7 cells.

Homer Tetramer Promotes Drebrin-Induced Formation of Filopodia

Drebrin can induce filopodia formation when expressed in various cells (Shirao et al., 1992; Worth et al., 2013). We speculated that the thick actin bundles assembled by the Drebrin/Homer complex in vitro may promote the formation and elongation of filopodia in cells. To test our hypothesis, we expressed various Drebrin and Homer1 constructs in COS7 cells and examined the morphology of filopodia by confocal microscopic imaging. When expressed in COS7 cells, Drebrin WT or S142E mutant induced the formation of numerous filopodia, whereas S142A mutant did not significantly induce filopodia formation (Figures 6A1, 6A2, and 6A3), as shown previously (Worth et al., 2013). Cells co-expressing Homer1b and

Figure 4. Homer1b Tetramer Promotes the Actin Bundling Activity of Drebrin

(A and B) Low-speed co-sedimentation assay of F-actin bundle formation with various Drebrin/Homer1 mixtures. “P” means pellets and “S” means supernatants. (A) Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE of 4 \( \mu \)M F-actin incubated with 1 \( \mu \)M Drebrin WT with or without 1 \( \mu \)M Homer1. (B) Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE of 4 \( \mu \)M F-actin incubated with 1 \( \mu \)M Drebrin S142E with or without 1 \( \mu \)M Homer1. (C) Quantification of the percentage of actin in pellets from the different groups of experiments in (A and B). Values are expressed as means ± SD from three independent experiments and analyzed using independent sample t test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S4.

Homer1a Can Antagonize Homer1b in Regulating Drebrin-Induced Actin Bundle Formation

Since the size of synapses is positively correlated with the amount of actin filaments in the synapse (Cingolani and...
Goda, 2008; Ethell and Pasquale, 2005; Hotulainen and Hoogenraad, 2010; Kim et al., 2015; Okamoto et al., 2009), we speculated that Homer1a might be able to antagonize Homer1b to modulate Drebrin-induced actin bundle formation. To test this hypothesis, we added increasing amounts of Homer1a into a Homer1b/Drebrin mixture at a fixed concentration and assayed the actin bundle formation by co-sedimentation (Figures 7A and 7C) and imaging-based assays (Figures 7 B and 7D). In the absence of Homer1a, the vast majority of actin formed F-actin filaments when incubated with the Homer1b/Drebrin mixture in the co-sedimentation and FM imaging assays (Figure 7). Expectedly, the addition of Homer1a disassembled Homer1b/Drebrin-induced actin bundles in a dose-dependent manner in both co-sedimentation and FM imaging assays (Figure 7), presumably due to the displacement of the tetrameric Homer1b from Drebrin by the monomeric Homer1a (Figure 7E).

DISCUSSION

An earlier study suggested that Drebrin contains two potential Homer binding sites with the PPXXF motif in its C-terminal tail (Shiraishi-Yamaguchi et al., 2009). In this study, we demonstrate that Homer EVH1 specifically binds to an extended sequence encompassing the first PPXXF motif, instead of the second PPXXF sequence-containing site. We further defined an extended Homer EVH1 binding motif with the consensus sequence of \( L-X_{1-2}^+PPXXF-U(D/N) \), where \( X \) is any residue and \( U \) can be any residue but F/Y/W. The structure of Homer EVH1 in complex with the extended PPXXF motif of Drebrin, together with detailed biochemical studies, elucidated the molecular mechanism governing this specific interaction.

The long isoforms of Homer such as Homer1b contain a C-terminal CC tetramerization domain. Each Homer tetramer can crosslink four Drebrin molecules. Thus, the tetrameric Homer can function as an organization hub to enable its bound Drebrin to crosslink actin filaments via its N-terminal actin binding domain (Figure 7E). As such, the Homer tetramer can promote the actin bundling activity of Drebrin.
Formation of actin bundles is often facilitated by actin filament crosslinking proteins. Intuitively, such actin filament crosslinking proteins usually contain multiple actin binding domains, either with one single chain or via forming oligomers (Bartles, 2000; Okamoto et al., 2007; Winder and Ayscough, 2005). Actin bundles assembled by monomeric proteins with only one actin binding domain usually have limited sizes (Claessens et al., 2008). Here we showed that, instead of forming homo-oligomers, monomeric Drebrin functions to bundle actin filaments via Homer-mediated oligomerization. Target protein binding-mediated multimerization of monomeric actin bundling proteins might be a general mechanism for these proteins to regulate their actin bundling activities. For example, crosslinking of Espin1, another monomeric actin bundling protein, by myosin III has also been shown to promote its actin bundling activity (Liu et al., 2016). An obvious advantage of this strategy is that their actin bundling activity can be regulated by their target proteins.

Homer is an important and highly abundant scaffold protein in dendritic spines. Elevated expression of the tetrameric Homer in neurons is known to promote dendritic spine formation and increase synaptic strength (Hayashi et al., 2009; Sala et al., 2003). Conversely, overexpression of the monomeric isoform of Homer has been shown to cause spine shrinkage and weaken synaptic strength (de Vivo et al., 2017; Diering et al., 2017; Sala et al., 2003). It is well established that enlarged dendritic spines are positively correlated with more crosslinked actin filaments in spines (Kim et al., 2015; Koskinen and Hotulainen, 2014; Okamoto et al., 2007, 2009). Homer1a was reported to regulate synapse function in a neuronal activity-dependent manner, but Homer1b did not show the same activity (Brakeman et al., 1997; Kato et al., 1997). In addition, it has been shown recently that the monomeric Homer1a is dramatically upregulated during the sleeping period in the wake/sleep cycles of mice, and the massive Homer1a upregulation is correlated with the global synapse downscaling of neurons from the cortex (de Vivo et al., 2017; Diering et al., 2017). In this study, we demonstrate that the monomeric Homer1a can directly antagonize tetrameric Homer1b to downregulate the actin bundling activity of Drebrin (Figure 7). The discovery of Homer1a-mediated actin bundle disassembly, via displacement of tetrameric Homer1b from Drebrin, may be a possible mechanism underlying the Homer1a-induced synaptic downscaling during the sleeping period in animal brains.

Three general Pro-rich motif (PRM) binding domains are currently known: the Src-homology 3 domains (SH3 domains), the WW domains, the EVH1 domains. Other PRM binding modules include the single-domain protein profilin, the GYF domain from CD2 binding protein 2, and the ubiquitin E2 variant domain from viral Gag protein (Ball et al., 2005; Li, 2005) (Figure 8A). A general feature for the PRM recognition mode is that the PRM usually forms a polyproline II helix to dock into the

Figure 6. Drebrin/Homer Tetramer Interaction Promotes Both Number and Length of Filopodia Formation in COS7 Cells
(A) Representative confocal images of COS7 cells transfected with GFP-Drebrin and each individual forms of RFP-Homer1 (A1–A12). Actin filaments are stained with phalloidin. (A1) Drebrin WT; (A2) Drebrin S142E; (A3) Drebrin S142A; (A4) Drebrin WT + Homer1b WT; (A5) Drebrin S142E + Homer1b WT; (A6) Drebrin S142A + Homer1b WT; (A7) Drebrin S142E + Homer1b II2RE; (A8) Drebrin S142E + Homer1a; (A9) Drebrin S142E + Homer1b W24A; (A10) Drebrin S142E ΔPPATF + Homer1b WT; (A11) Homer1b WT; and (A12) negative control without any transfection. Scale bar: 20 μm.
(B) Quantification of filopodial length (B1) and filopodial number per cell (B2). A protrusion with a length >2 μm is defined as a filopodia. Values are expressed in boxplot or means ± SD from three independent experiments with 20 cells per experiment, analyzed using independent sample t test.
*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001. Note: For A1, A2 and A3, Homer were not transfected as indicated (therefore no signal was detected in these panels). For A11, Drebrin was not transfected (thus no signal was observed).
hydrophobic groove formed by a number of aromatic residues (Figures 8B–8E). Furthermore, some non-proline residues (charged or hydrophobic) outside of the core PRM are critical for the interaction between PRM and PRM binding domains. For example, the Arg in the SH3 binding motif can form a salt bridge with a Glu from the SH3 domain (Feng et al., 1994; Li et al., 2018) (Figure 8B). The Tyr in the ‘‘PPxY’’ is involved in hydrogen bonding with the conserved His from the class I WW domain (Huang et al., 2000) (Figure 8C1). The Phe in the class I EVH1 binding motif form a cation–π interaction with the Arg from the EVH1 domain (Prehoda et al., 1999) (Figure 8D1). In the current study, we showed the importance of the flanking sequence of PRM (e.g., D545D; Figures 3 and 8D2) for Drebrin to bind to Homer EVH1. With such distinct features, PRM binding domains can achieve target binding specificity albeit with moderate binding affinities, a property suited for dynamic regulations in signal transductions.
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Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
  - COS7 Cells Culture
- METHOD DETAILS
  - Constructs and Protein Purification
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Figure 8. Comparison of the Different Interfaces of PRM Binding Modules

(A) Summary of different binding domains for PRM-containing motifs. Φ, χ, and Ω represent hydrophobic, any amino acid residue and any residue but YFW, respectively. Lower-case letters represent the residue is favored at this position. (B–E) The detailed interactions of representative members of each PRM binding family with their proline-rich ligands. Yellow, residues which form the base of binding surface; red, residues of ligand which have close hydrophobic contacts with the PRM domain; green, variable residues that have contacts with ligand. The first proline residue that makes close hydrophobic contact in the ligand is assigned the number zero. (B) SH3 domain, (C) WW domain, (D) EVH1 domain, and (E) profilin.
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit skeletal muscle actin</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, Inc.</td>
<td>Cat#AKL99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#11995065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; 555 Phalloidin</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A34055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; 647 Phalloidin</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A22287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fetal bovine serum (FBS)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#16000044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penicillin-streptomycin</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#15140122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Human Drebrin WT (aa: M1-D649, ref# NM_004395.3)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Mouse Homer1b WT (aa: M1-S354, ref# NM_001284189.2)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Mouse Homer1a (aa: M1-K186, ref# NM_011982.4)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Mouse Homer2 EVH1 (aa: M1-D115, ref# NM_011983.2)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Mouse mGluR1 (aa: P1144-L1199, ref# NM_016976.3)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Mouse Shank3 (aa: N1284-T1320, ref# NM_021423.3)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant protein: Drebrin HBM1-linker-Homer2 EVH1 (Drebrin L530-E550- GSGENLQGGSGGSGG-G-Homer2 M1-D115)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViaFect™ Transfection Reagent</td>
<td>Promega</td>
<td>Cat#E4981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of Drebrin HBM1/Homer2 EVH1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>5ZZ9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of the Homer1 EVH1/mGluR complex</td>
<td>(Beneken et al., 2000)</td>
<td>1DDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution structure of c-Src SH3 and Class I proline-rich motif</td>
<td>(Feng et al., 1994)</td>
<td>1RPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution structure of c-Src SH3 and Class II proline-rich motif</td>
<td>(Feng et al., 1994)</td>
<td>1PRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of CIN85 SH3 and ARAP1 proline-rich motif</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2018)</td>
<td>5XHZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of Dystrophin and Dystroglycan</td>
<td>(Huang et al., 2000)</td>
<td>1EG4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of FE65-WW domain and hMena complex</td>
<td>(Meiyappan et al., 2007)</td>
<td>2HO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of Mena EVH1 and ACTA complex</td>
<td>(Prehoda et al., 1999)</td>
<td>1EVH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal structure of Profilin and L-Pro10 peptide complex</td>
<td>(Mahoney et al., 1997)</td>
<td>1AWI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Experimental Models: Cell Lines

| Human: COS7 cells                                                                  | ATCC                 | CRL-1651; RRID: CVCL_0224 |

#### Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains

| Escherichia coli: Rosetta (DE3)                                                    | Novagen              | Cat#70954               |
| Escherichia coli: BL21 (DE3)                                                       | Invitrogen           | Cat#C600003             |

#### Recombinant DNA

| Plasmid: S142A Drebrin-YFP                                                         | Addgene              | Cat#S8335               |
| Plasmid: pTGFP Human Drebrin                                                       | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pETM.3C Human Drebrin                                                     | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pTRFP Mouse Homer1b                                                       | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pETM.3C Mouse Homer1b                                                      | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pTRFP Mouse Homer1a                                                       | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pETM.3C Mouse Homer1a                                                      | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pETM.3C Mouse Homer2 EVH1                                                  | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pET32M.3C Mouse mGluR1 HBM                                                 | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pET32M.3C Mouse Shank3 HBM                                                 | This paper           | N/A                    |
| Plasmid: pETM.3C Drebrin HBM1-linker-Homer2 EVH1                                    | This paper           | N/A                    |

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to, and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Mingjie Zhang (mzhang@ust.hk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

COS7 Cells Culture

COS7 cells derived from the kidney of a male adult African green monkey (from ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 50–100 units of penicillin-streptomycin (P/S). Cultured COS7 cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2. The cell line was not further authenticated. Cells were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination by cytoplasmic DAPI staining.

METHOD DETAILS

Constructs and Protein Purification

The cDNA encoding the human Drebrin fragments (residues 431-649 and 431-548) were PCR amplified using the full-length 70 kDa Drebrin A S142A cDNA template (purchased from Addgene, residues 1-649, NCBI accession code: NM_004395.3). The coding sequences of mouse Homer2 fragment (residues 1-115, NCBI accession code: NM_011983.2), mouse Homer1 full-length (residues 1-354 NCBI accession Number: NM_001284189.2), mouse mGluR1 fragment (residues 1144-1199, NCBI accession code: NM_016976.3) and mouse Shank3 fragment (residues 1284-1320, NCBI accession code: NM_021423.3) were PCR amplified from a mouse cDNA library. All point mutations or deletion constructs were created by PCR-based mutagenesis method. To generate the Drebrin and Homer fusion constructs for crystallization, Drebrin HBM1 (residue 530-550) was fused to the N-terminus of Homer2 EVH1 domain (residue 1-115) by the standard two-step PCR method with a flexible linker ‘GSGENLQGGSGG’ between the two proteins. All of these constructs were cloned into a modified pET32M.3C vector for protein expression in bacteria or a modified pTGFP/pTRFP vector for expression in COS7 cells.

All proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3). The N-terminal thioredoxin-His6-e-tagged or His6-e-tagged proteins were purified with a Ni²⁺-NTA Sepharose™ 6 Fast Flow column and followed by a Superdex-200 preparation grade size-exclusion chromatography. The purification tag was cleaved by protease 3C and the proteins were further purified by a step of ion-exchange chromatography or another cycle of size-exclusion chromatography.

Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) coupled with static multi-angle light scattering (FPLC-MALS) assay.

Protein samples (typically 180 μL at a concentration of 50-100 μM) were injected into an AKTA FPLC system with a Superdex 200 Increase10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) using the column buffer of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER
--- | --- | ---
| HKL3000 | Otwinowski and Minor, 1997 | http://www.hkl-xray.com/
| PHASER | McCoy et al., 2007 | http://www.phaser.cimr.cam.ac.uk/
| Coot | Emsley et al., 2010 | http://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/
| PHENIX | Adams et al., 2010 | http://www.phenix-online.org/
| MolProbity | Chen et al., 2010 | http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/
| PyMOL | DeLano Scientific LLC | http://www.pymol.org/
| ASTRA6 | Wyatt | http://www.wyatt.com/products/software/astra.html
| ImageJ | NIH | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
| Quantity One | Bio-Rad | http://www.bio-rad.com/
DTT. The chromatography system was coupled to a static multi-angle light scattering system equipped with a 18-angle static light scattering detector (Dawn, Wyatt) and a differential refractive index detector (Optilab, Wyatt). The molecular weights were analyzed using the ASTRA 6 software (Wyatt).

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) Assay**

ITC measurements were carried out on a MicroCal iTC200 (Malvern) at 25°C. All proteins were dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT. The concentrations of the protein in the syringe were typically 500 μM, while the concentrations of the protein in the cell were typically 50 μM. Each titration point was performed by injecting a 2 μL aliquot of the syringe sample into the cell sample at a time interval of 120-150 s to ensure that the titration curve returned to the baseline. The titration data were analyzed by Origin7.0 and fitted by the one-site binding model.

**Crystallography**

Crystals of the Drebrin and Homer2 fusion complex (protein in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT) were obtained by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 16°C. The crystals were initially grown in buffer containing 50 mM ammonium fluoride and 8% w/v polyethylene glycol 3,350, and further optimized in the above buffer with additional 0.20% w/v adenosine 5’-triphosphate disodium salt hydrate, 0.20% w/v 2’-deoxyxycytidine 5’-monophosphate sodium salt, 0.20% w/v β-estradiol, 0.20% w/v D-(+)-galactose, 0.20% w/v 2’-deoxyguanosine hydrate, and 0.02 M HEPES sodium pH 6.8 (Silver bullet bio additive). The crystals were soaked in crystallization solution containing additional 10% v/v glycerol for cryo-protection. Diffraction data were collected at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility BL19U1 at 100 K. Data were processed and scaled using HKL3000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). Structure of the Drebrin HBM1/Homer2EVH1 complex was solved by the molecular replacement with the Homer1 EVH1 structure as the search model (PDB code: 1DDV) using PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007). Further manual model building and refinement were completed iteratively using Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) and PHENIX (Adams et al., 2010). The final model was validated by MolProbity (Chen et al., 2010). The final refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. All structure figures were prepared by PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org).

**Actin Bundling Assay**

Rabbit skeletal muscle actin (Cytoskeleton) were dissolved in ddH2O and hydrated in 5 mM Tris-HCl in pH 8.0 and 0.2 mM CaCl2 supplemented with 0.2 mM ATP and 0.5 mM DTT on ice for 1h and centrifuged at 150,000-200,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Actin concentration in the supernatant was determined by absorbance at 290 nm using the Lambert-Beer law. Actin was polymerized at room temperature for 1h after adding one-tenth volume of 10x polymerization buffer (500 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl2 and 10 mM ATP). Bundles were prepared by mixing 4 μM F-actin with 1 μM Drebrin FL WT/S142E and incubating at room temperature for 1h, with or without 1 μM of various forms of Homer1 in the mixtures. For co-sedimentation assay, mixtures were centrifuged at 4°C 10,000g for 20min. After centrifugation, supernatants (solutions) were transferred to new tubes. Pellets (F-actin bundles) were washed once by the same assay buffer and centrifuged again at 4°C 10,000g. For fluorescence microscopy, F-actin was labeled with Alexa Fluor 555 Phalloidin (AF555-Phalloidin). Aliquots (5-10 μL) were delivered onto microscope slides. A coverslip was then placed over each drop of the samples gently. All the samples were imaged using a Zeiss LSM 710 laser-scanning confocal microscope, and images were processed with Zeiss blue 2013. The relative fluorescence intensity was defined as: Total fluorescence intensity/Area of bundled F-actin. Samples for TEM were adsorbed to glow-discharged, carbon-coated formvar films on copper grids for 1min and negatively stained with 1% (m/v) uranium acetate (UA) for 30 s. EM images were acquired using a HITACHI TEM-HT7700 transmission electron microscope and processed with ImageJ. For the Homer1a competition assay, increasing concentrations of Homer1a were mixed with F-actin-Drebrin-Homer1b (4:1:1) and the mixtures were incubated for 1h. F-actin bundles were assayed by co-sedimentation and FM imaging methods. All groups were compared with the Drebrin FL S142E + Homer1b FL WT group by the two-tailed independent sample T test in the IBM SPSS Statistics 19 software.

**Actin Binding Assays**

For actin binding experiments, samples were centrifuged at 250,000g for 25 min, at 4°C, in a Beckman TLA-100 rotor. After centrifugation, pellets were solubilized in 1x gel sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. To quantify the amount of Drebrin co-sedimented with F-actin in pellets, we loaded 0.1-8 μM of purified Drebrin as the standards. Binding parameters (Kd and Bmax) are obtained by fitting the average data points with Hill model in OriginPro 8.0.

**Cell Imaging and Data Analysis**

Before transfections, 3–4 × 10⁶ cells were plated on 12-well cell culture plates and allowed to adhere overnight. At12-16 h later, cells were transiently transfected using ViaFect™ Transfection Reagent (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instruction. At 8-10 h post-transfection, cells were plated on acid washed 18-22 mm square 1.5-1.84g glass coverslips. Images were taken at 12-16 h after cell adhesion on the coverslips. These cells were not individually authenticated and not found to be on the list of commonly misidentified cell lines (International Cell Line Authentication Committee). Cells were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination by cytoplasmic DAPI staining. All the images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 710 laser-scanning confocal microscope, with a 40x1 or 63x2 oil objective and pinhole setting to 1 Airy unit. Data were collected from three independent batches of cultures. In each group, at least 60 fluorescence-positive cells were counted for each group of experiments. All experiments were conducted in a double-blinded
fashion. The length and number of primary filopodia (crossing the cell edge with length > 2µm) in each cell were quantified by Zeiss blue 2013 and analyzed using OriginPro 8.0.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data of in vitro Actin bundling sedimentation assay and COS7 cell filopodial number were expressed as mean ± SD. Data of FM/TEM imaging and COS7 cell filopodial length were expressed as boxplot. The statistical analysis was performed using two-tailed independent sample T test in the IBM SPSS Statistics 19 software; n.s, not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. All experiments related to FM/TEM imaging, cell cultures and COS7 imaging studies were performed in blinded fashion.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Data Resources
The atomic coordinates of Drebrin HBM1/Homer2 EVH1 complex have been deposited to the Protein Data Bank under accession code PDB: 5ZZ9.